

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/842,248	04/26/2001	Kenichi Higashi	2185-0532P	7514
	590 11/02/2004		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			NAKARANI, DHIRAJLAL S	
	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1773	

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_ \ (
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>)</i> .			
Advisory Action	09/842,248	HIGASHI ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this account is	D. S. Nakarani	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe						
THE REPLY FILED 25 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applications which	cation. A proper re	ply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d						
 b) L The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS I 706.07(f). 	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	the final rejection				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext	tension fee under			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>25 October 2004</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Appellant's Brief must be filed w R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	ithin the period set of the appeal.	forth in			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		ŗ			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ requiplace the application in condition for allows 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because.	Ince because: See Continuation S	Sheet				
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a)∐ will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo	⊠ will be entered a wor appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) objected to: None.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement						
10. ☐ Other:	, , , , _					
•		+ 10 m. 10 m.	Laur			
	_	D. S. Nakarani				
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1773				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants are requested to NOTE that amendment filed January 28, 2004 has not been entered as per "Request for Continued Examination" filed March 30, 2004. Therefore the claimed invention is an open language and there is no phrase "composition consists essentially of" for the resin layer (B) in the claim 1. There is no criticality established for filler in the resin layer (B). Spain et al teach addition of pigment in the backing layer. Spain et al's substrate layer contains filler. Saeki et al's layer composition is equivalent to the layer (B) of the claimed invention.

D. S. NAKARANI PRIMARY EXAMINER